b	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/813,640	YANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. Eric Angell	1635
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>J. Eric Angell</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Louis Myers</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 29, 44 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
The state of the s	/A !! !! D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – it appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to the Applicants' representative (Louis Myers) possible 112, second paragraph problems with claims 29 and 44. The Examiner also indicated a proposed amendment for each claim (29 and 44) that would obviate the indicated 112, second paragraph rejections. The applicants representative approved the proposed amendments entry by Examiner's amendment (see attached).

DAVET.NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINEP